UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,862 | 10/21/2005 | Koji Tsuchida | 3273-0215PUS1 | 6571 |
| | 7590 02/06/200 ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | OH 374 22040 0747 | DICUS, TAMRA | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1794 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/06/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/553,862 | TSUCHIDA ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | TAMRA L. DICUS | 1794 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavite eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | oddoo | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | tter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying t | he issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | correction ding number of finally rais | otad alaima | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | cted claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | | mpliant Amondment (| DTOL 324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Inpliant Amendment (| 1 10L-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | · | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. | ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will | he entered and an e | vnlanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | | be entered and an e. | Apianation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | • • | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 7-16</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>7, 3-3, 7-76.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration. | ered but does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for a | allowance | | | | |
| because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | (| | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| /D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | | | | | | | |
| 1 , | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections are sustained for reasons of record The proposed amendment does not substantially change the issues in the case. .